

CANNON SWING SPACE DOORS

Section M

1.0 OVERVIEW

- 1.1. This solicitation is to provide new doors for the Rayburn House Office Building (RHOB) Additional Member Suites (AMS) spaces to match the existing RHOB doors in both design and quality. Provide 7 balanced, premium wood doors; 36 unbalanced, premium wood doors; and 90 flush, premium wood doors for the RHOB AMS spaces.

2.0 BASIS OF AWARD

- 2.1. This solicitation will be evaluated based on a Lowest Price Technically Acceptable basis. The Government will evaluate offers in response to this solicitation and award a contract without discussions to the responsible offeror whose offer, conforming to the solicitation, will be most advantageous to the Government considering only price and the price related factors specified below in this Section L of the solicitation. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a price standpoint. The Government reserves the right to open for discussion if the prices submitted is above the budgeted or estimated amount for this requirement. The Government also reserves the right to restructure this solicitation to a commercial format as per AOC guidelines for procurement if prices submitted is above that estimated for the requirement.
- 2.2. The AOC may reject any or all proposals, and may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.
- 2.3. The total price will be evaluated with Base and all Options combined. Lowest price will be based on total price for Base and all Options, regardless of exercise at time of award.

3.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 3.1. **FACTOR1 OFFEROR'S RELEVANT EXPERIENCE:** The government will evaluate the materials submitted in response to Factor 1
 - 3.1.1. To be considered acceptable, the Offeror must have a minimum of ONE project where similar scope work was performed over the last 10 years.
 - 3.1.2. To be considered acceptable, the information submitted for this factor must demonstrate offeror's ability to manufacture or deliver the products specified in the RFP Documents

3.2. FACTOR 2 SCHEDULE: The government will evaluate the materials submitted in response to Factor 2 as follows:

3.2.1.To be considered acceptable, the Offeror must submit all information requested in this factor.

3.2.2.To be considered acceptable, the Offeror must include productivity rates for production/manufacture of doors or their procurement method for doors and other pertinent information to allow the government to evaluate if delivery schedule can be met.

3.3. FACTOR 3 PAST PERFORMANCE: The government will assess the degree of success of Offeror's recent, relevant experience. Past Performance Questionnaires received from customer references will be evaluated to determine whether, and the extent to which, the Offeror demonstrated a satisfactory record of the following:

3.3.1.Conforming to contract requirements and to standards of good workmanship

3.3.2.A satisfactory record of forecasting and controlling costs

3.3.3.A satisfactory record of adhering to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance

3.3.4.A satisfactory history of reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and, generally, evidence of a business-like concern for the interest of the customer.

3.3.5.It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure that Past Performance Questionnaires are completed and timely submitted by customer references, and that correct names, addresses and phone numbers are provided in the proposals for each reference. An Offeror will not be penalized in the evaluation with an unfavorable rating for the failure of its customer references to complete and submit the Past performance Questionnaires. The Government may contact Offeror customer references or use other references/information to verify past performance.

4.0 FACTOR 4 PRICE: The price proposal will be evaluated by a separate Cost Evaluation Panel during the evaluation period and will not be used to further judge the criteria above.

4.1.1.The price will be evaluated according to how effectively it:

4.1.1.1. Is responsive and complies with the forms and meets the criteria of the RFP.

4.1.1.2. Includes all cost data requested in the RFP

4.1.1.3. Provides price realism in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(b)

4.1.1.4. Comports to industry best practices and benchmarks

4.1.1.5. Is error free and materially balanced

4.1.1.6. Is reasonable by comparison to the project estimates (e.g., IGE) that have been generated by the AOC and/or the technical advisor

